IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7 OF 2005.

IN

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.81 OF 2004.

Rajan Dayaram Mirpuri. ... Applicant.

V/s.

Anjali @ Anjale Rajan Mirpuri. ... Respondents.

Shri P.S.Anand, advocate for the applicant.

CORAM : S.B.MHASE &
D.B.BHOSALE,JJ

DATE: JANUARY 18, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Since the service cannot be effected on the respondent for the reasons stated in the application, we find that the substitute service is necessary and therefore, allow the application to serve the respondent by following the mode as stated in the prayer clause 11(a). The prayer clause 11(a) reads as follows:

> "11(a) that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to grant permission to serve the Respondent abovenamed with the Notice in respect of the aforesaid Family Court Appeal as well as the Civil Application No.122 of 2004 by substituted service by publishing an advertisement in a daily newspaper circulating in the locality in which the Respondent is last known to have actually and voluntarily resided i.e. Front Flat, 6th Floor, Ocean View Court,

31-33, Modi Road, Tsim Sha-Tsui, Kowtoom, Hong Kong and also by publishing the same in an English daily newspaper circulating in Mumbai;"

Application is disposed of accordingly.

(D.B.BHOSALE,J) **(S.B.MHASE,J)**

Login: ast/sbm